RICHARD TAN, SBN 327366 LAW OFFICES OF RICHARD TAN 2 3020 Bridgeway, Suite 192 Sausalito, CA 94965 Telephone: (510) 345-3246 Facsimile: (415) 532-1310 4 Email: richardtan@tutanota.com 5 Attorneys for Plaintiffs, 6 KEITH H. WASHINGTON, SAN FRANCISCO BAY VIÉW 7 NATIONAL BLACK NEWSPAPER 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 KEITH H. ("MALIK") WASHINGTON, an Case No.: 3:21-cv-00787 12 individual; and SAN FRANCISCO BAY VIEW NATIONAL BLACK NEWSPAPER, [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING 13 a California corporation, PLAINTIFFS' APPLICATION FOR A 14 TEMPORARY RESTRAINING Plaintiffs, ORDER AND/OR ORDER TO SHOW 15 **CAUSE AND FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION** VS. 16 17 FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS, a public agency of the United States; THE 18 GEO GROUP, INC., a Florida corporation, dba GEO CALIFORNIA, INC.; MONICA 19 HOOK, an individual; MARIA RICHARD, 20 an individual; WILL GOMEZ, an individual, MURTALA LANVAL, an 21 individual, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, 22 23 Defendants. 24 25 26 Having considered all material filed in support of and in opposition to 27 Plaintiffs' Application for a Temporary Restraining Order and/or Order to Show 28

[Proposed] Order Granting Plaintiffs' Application for a Temporary Restraining Order

Washington v. Federal Bureau of Prisons, Case No.

and/or Order to Show Cause for Preliminary Injunction

Cause for Preliminary Injunction, and having considered the arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiffs' Application for a Temporary Restraining Order and/or Order to Show Cause for Preliminary Injunction is GRANTED.

Defendants and all persons acting with them or under their control are enjoined from retaliating, whether via inmate discipline or other means, against Mr.

Washington for speaking with members of the press until his pre-release status ends on May 31, 2021.

Defendants are prohibited from imposing disciplinary sanctions arising from the incident report of February 4, 2021.

The disciplinary charges of February 4, 2021 are declared void.

Defendants and all persons acting with them or under their control are further ordered to :

- 1. Revoke the disciplinary sanction against Mr. Washington, Report No. 3466318, and remove the sanction from Mr. Washington's record;
- 2. Restore Mr. Washington"s good time credits (14 days), which have delayed his expected date for home confinement and expected release date;
- 3. Revoke the requirement that Mr. Washington fill out a "News Interview Authorization Form" before he speaks with members of the press;
- 4. Permit Mr. Washington to speak with members of the press freely, both in a personal capacity, for work purpose, and for interviews;
 - 5. Return Mr. Washington"s cell phone to him.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants show cause before this Court at
________, United States Courthouse, the Northern District

Washington v. Federal Bureau of Prisons, Case No. [Proposed] Order Granting Plaintiffs' Application for a Temporary Restraining Order and/or Order to Show Cause for Preliminary Injunction

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

of California, on the _____ day of _____, 2021 at ____ o'clock ____.m., or such other date as may be fixed by the Court, why a preliminary injunction, pursuant to Rule 65 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, should not be issued ordering the Defendants, and all persons acting with them or under their control, pending the final hearing and determination of this action, to:

- 1. Revoke the disciplinary sanction against Mr. Washington, Report No. 3466318, and remove the sanction from Mr. Washington's record;
- 2. Restore Mr. Washington"s good time credits (14 days), which have delayed his expected date for home confinement and expected release date;
- 3. Revoke the requirement that Mr. Washington fill out a "News Interview Authorization Form" before he speaks with members of the press;
- 4. Permit Mr. Washington to speak with members of the press freely, both in a personal capacity, for work purpose, and for interviews;
 - 5. Return Mr. Washington"s cell phone to him.

Defendants shall also show cause before this Court at the aforementioned location and time why a preliminary injunction, pursuant to Rule 65 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, should not be issued enjoining the Defendants, and all persons acting with them or under their control, pending the final hearing and determination of this action, from retaliating whether via inmate discipline or other means, against Mr. Washington for speaking with members of the press until his pre-release status ends on May 31, 2021.

IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Dated:	
	Hon. Jon S. Tigar UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
	IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated:

Washington v. Federal Bureau of Prisons, Case No. [Proposed] Order Granting Plaintiffs' Application for a Temporary Restraining Order and/or Order to Show Cause for Preliminary Injunction